Public Comment Received for:

**Item B: 102 Santa Rosa Pl**

*(PLN2018-00625)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Sender</th>
<th>Distributed prior to hearing</th>
<th>Distributed after the hearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The Lovegreen Family</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Dear Board Members,

I am Jeff Lovegreen the owner and resident (45 yrs) of 106 Santa Rosa Pl, adjacent to 102 to the North. My family and I have serious issues with 2 items and 2 lesser with the approved landscape plan comparison.

1) The fountain water feature. We already endure a waterfall at 110 Santa Rosa Pl, which runs 24/7 and the sound is not pleasing, soothing, or peaceful. Every second of the day it is a 20 inch wide waterfall that drops 18 inches. Proposed at 102 is now a body of water that has 3 pipes that will have water dropping 18 inches. The house is nothing more than a 20 foot by 60 foot reflector of what will be the sound similar to 3 hoses running into water. This will not enhance our quality of life.

With the city fountains dry, Oak park and the plunge at Los Banos Pool dry, and the city needs to manufacture water….why would anyone be able to build nothing more than an evaporation pool? Are we not in a significant drought with Cachuma less than half full?

There is already a water feature in the NW corner of the property as a 7X8 foot jacuzzi. Does this not already suffice as a water feature????

I witnessed the removal of a lifeless 18 month old child from the water feature next door after he drowned and the distraught grandmother and uncle were complete distress. Safety is the final concern that along with the noise, the vanity of the wasteful use of water should be enough to eliminate this unnecessary feature.

Also remember the jacuzzi and the water feature have equipment that will generate unwanted sound.

2) View Corridor on Santa Rosa Pl. For 70 years there has only been lawn and a few low 1-3 foot bushes next to the old house thereby allowing for the ocean view down our street. The plans now show no less than 5 trees that will further choke and eliminate the view not only for us but every single home up the street. The intersection view is the only one nearly all of these homes have. It seems extremely selfish to now obscure what little view we have. Is not a view corridor considered valuable and vegetation shall not diminish it.

The palm tree the owner is placing adjacent to our property and driveway should be placed on the south side. The palm accidentally removed in demolition was a volunteer and grew by accident and was never willfully planted but grew hidden under a bush originally. We ask that it not present the potential for falling fronds on our vehicles to be placed closer to the structure and or on the south side.

3) Exterior lighting. The house will be entirely WHITE! Any exterior lighting will be reflected as will the water feature sound onto our property.

4) North fence and hedge. Podocarpis have invasive roots and we know because we eliminated them from our property. Root barriers would be nice. Also if allowed to grow 8 feet the shaded area along our south side is significant. Who will trim the 2 feet above the fence?

Thank you, sincerely the Lovegreen family