## General Public Comment Received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Sender</th>
<th>Distributed prior to hearing</th>
<th>Distributed after the hearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Marsha Cutler &amp; Lynette Baird</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Kym Cochran</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To the Architectural Board,

We wish to readdress the proposed construction at 630 Miramonte Drive.

My sister and I, owners of the adjacent property at 650 Miramonte Drive, had requested to be notified of the dates the property would come up for review. We were not. We were, in fact, made aware of one meeting, which we attended, thanks to notification by a neighbor. At that time the rooftop garden was not approved as apparently the fire department would not approve it.

However, it seems evident that the plans have been approved without our knowledge.

It is prudent to note that there has been no construction to date, nor has a start date been assigned (as per neighbor).

Here are our concerns:
The proposed rooftop is level with our patio.
There was no access to that roof in the initial plans we saw.
Why have a garden which no one can access?
So, add a staircase access somewhere.
Where will the garden tools be stored?
So, add a garden storage building, a counter, plumbing for a sink, hose.
Then what? Who will be able to enjoy it?
So, add an umbrella patio table & chairs and perhaps a bar.
Who wants to sunbath within full view of neighbors just feet away?
So, build a sunscreen, draped pergola, or?

Basically, our entire view East, including the harbor, East Beach, Butterfly Beach will be gone forever.

Please reconsider the approval as it stands. It will take away that view and thereby significantly reduce enjoyment of it as well as the value of our property.

Thank you for your consideration.
Marsha Cutler  
66 Vera Cruz  
Simi Valley, CA 93065  
(805) 428-6109  

Lynette Baird  
5781 Arrowhead Drive  
Foresthill, CA 95631  
(530) 444-0224
PLEASE BE ADVISED

The following public comment was not received in time to process. Distribution to the SFDB occurred after the meeting.
Dear Single Family Design Board Committee Members,

I wrote a letter last year expressing my concerns regarding the AUD at 934 Haley St (Haley/Alisos). I watched the meeting and I’d like to thank all of you for allowing this project to go forward. During the course of the meeting, the plans were shown, and I was incorrectly thinking the 2 story building face would be on the sidewalk of Haley Street where the current parking/driveway is located. Having a 2-story building directly at the sidewalk edge would change the feel of the neighborhood. I was also thinking the yard on Haley side would be eliminated to accommodate the renovation. This would have been inconsistent with the other residences on Haley Street.

Since the renovation is in the back of the property at a lower elevation, it is consistent with multi-unit apartment buildings facing Alisos.

I will admit I am sad to lose a tree - it provides shade, clean air and adds to the beauty of the neighborhood. Thank you again for considering the concerns the public voices, and making recommendations based on the plans presented. Your service is appreciated.

Sincerely,
Kym Cochran